

Effect of Season of the year and Ovarian Structures on Oocytes Recovery Rate, Quality and Meiotic Competence in Egyptian Buffaloes

¹Al-shimaa Al-H. H. El-Naby, ²Karima G.H.M. Mahmoud, ²Youssef F. Ahmed,
¹Mahmoud E.A. Abouel-Roos and ¹Alaa E. Abdel-Ghaffar

¹Department of Theriogenology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

²Department of Animal Reproduction and A.I., National Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Abstract: The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of season of the year and ovarian structure (corpus luteum) on the recovery rate, quality and maturation of Egyptian buffalo oocytes. For this purpose, ovaries were collected from slaughtered buffaloes and oocytes were scored after recovery by aspiration. Oocytes were matured *in vitro* for 24 h and evaluated for nuclear maturation after staining by 1% orcein stain. Results showed a significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher recovery rate, quality and maturation rate of buffalo oocytes from ovaries collected during Spring season (high ovarian activity) than those in Summer season (Low ovarian activity). Presence of luteal structure had no significant effect on oocyte yield and quality, though a significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher maturation rate was recorded in the absence of corpus luteum on the ovary. In conclusion, it was determined that season and luteal tissue at the time of collection influences the recovery, quality and meiotic maturation of Egyptian buffalo oocytes and their liability for use in IVF programs.

Key words: Buffalo • Corpus Luteum • IVM • Oocytes • Season

INTRODUCTION

Recovery of a large number of oocytes from ovaries of slaughtered or live animal (s) as well as its quality is a prerequisite for *in vitro* maturation (IVM) and fertilization (IVF) and successful production of IVM-IVF embryos. Buffalo ovaries contain thousands of oocytes, but only a small number is utilized during their reproductive lifespan and most of these are wasted after slaughtering. Additionally, there are many factors including age, ovarian size, presence or absence of corpus luteum (CL) and season influence the quantity and quality of recovered oocytes per ovary from slaughtered animals [1, 2].

Although, buffaloes are polyestrous, they exhibit a distinct seasonal variations in breeding activity [3, 4]. A lower yield of oocyte per ovary was recorded in buffaloes during hot season [2] in association with relatively inactive ovarian status [5] and this might be an attributable factor for the seasonality of breeding in this species.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no detailed study regarding the effect of reproductive status on the oocytes recovery rate, morphology and

appearance in buffalo. Moreover, observations in bovines are contradictory [6] and needs further investigations. While some records found that the stage of estrous cycle has no effect on bovine oocytes quality or its developmental potentials [7], others isolated a higher proportion of good quality oocytes with higher rate of developmental competence at the end of the luteal phase in cows [8]. Several researchers recorded that CL bearing ovary contains more follicles [9] and influence the recovery rate of oocytes, IVM and IVF [10]. Fewer medium and large follicles were collected from pregnant than cyclic cows [11].

Therefore, the present study was designated to investigate the effect of season and presence CL on oocyte yields, quality and consequently their maturation rate in Egyptian buffaloes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Designs

Experiment I: Effect of the season on recovery rate, quality and maturation rate of oocytes in Egyptian buffaloes.

Ovaries were obtained from slaughtered buffalos during spring (n=123) and summer (n=113) seasons of the year to assess the effect of the season on recovery rate, quality and maturation rate of collected oocytes.

Experiment II: Evaluation the relationship between the existence of corpus luteum and oocytes yield and maturation *in vitro*.

Ovaries were obtained from slaughtered buffalos and categorized according to the presence (n=126) or absence (n=106) of corpus luteum. Oocytes were aspirated from ovaries and evaluated for the effect of corpus luteum existence on the recovery rate, quality and maturation rate of collected oocytes.

Collection of Ovaries: Ovaries were collected immediately after slaughtering of buffaloes at local abattoir (El-Moneeb, Giza) and were transported to the laboratory (National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza) within 1-2 hours in a thermos flask containing phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.2) supplemented with antibiotics (100.000 IU penicillin and 100 mg streptomycin/l) at 30-38°C. Ovaries dissected free of adipose and connective tissues were then washed several times in warm PBS (30-38°C).

Collection and Classification of Oocytes: The oocytes were harvested from all visible ovarian follicles by aspiration using 18-gauge needle fixed on 10 ml disposable syringe filled with 1 ml of the aspiration medium (0.01M PBS at pH 7.2, supplemented with 3% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) or 3 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (fraction V) and 50 µg/ml gentamycin). Oocytes were recovered under stereo microscope (10×) by sterile Pasteur pipette, washed 2-3 times in TCM 199 (Earle's salt) enriched with 10% FCS, evaluated morphologically according to the criteria of cumulus investment and classified into four classes (A; completely invested with cumulus cell layers, B; partially invested with cumulus cells, C; denuded oocytes and D; degenerated oocytes).

In vitro Maturation of Oocytes: The recovered oocytes (Class A and B) were matured *in vitro* using TCM 199 supplemented with 10% FCS, 50 µM cysteamine and 50 µg/ml gentamycin for 24 hours (39°C, 5% CO₂ in air with 95% relative humidity).

Chromosome Preparation: At the end of the culture period, oocytes were subjected to chromosomal

preparation according to the procedure described by Tarkowski [12]. Briefly, cumulus cells were removed mechanically by gentle pipetting, oocyte was transferred to 1% hypotonic sodium citrate solution for 10 min. and placed on a microscope slide with a minimal amount of hypotonic solution. Three drops of methanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) fixative were dropped onto the oocytes before staining with 1% orcein stain. The evaluation of nuclear maturation was performed according to the method described by Hamam *et al.* [13].

Statistical Analysis: Data were presented as mean (±S.E.), tabulated and analyzed for impact of season (spring vs. summer) and corpus luteum (presence vs. absence) on examined parameters by Student *t*-test using SPSS (ver.14) statistical software. Differences were considered to be significant at P<0.05.

RESULTS

Experiment I: Effect of the season on recovery rate, quality and maturation rate of oocytes in Egyptian buffaloes.

The effect of season on the recovery and maturation rates of buffalo oocytes is presented in Tables 1 and 2. The mean oocyte recovery rate per ovary was nearly similar during spring (high ovarian activity) and summer (low ovarian activity) seasons (2.57±0.23 vs. 2.60±0.21). However, ovarian samples collected during summer months were characterized by a significant (P<0.05) higher rate of degenerated oocytes (class D), lower number of good quality oocytes and lower the maturation rate than those collected during spring months (19.43±3.12 vs. 10.28±1.94, 72.77±2.28 vs. 81.69±2.95 and 63.40±2.15 vs. 71.49 ± 1.20, respectively).

Experiment II: *In vitro* evaluation the relationship between the existence of corpus luteum and oocytes yield and maturation.

Data regarding the effect of presence or absence CL on oocyte recovery and Maturation rates is shown in Tables 3 and 4. Statistical analysis did not reveal significant differences between ovaries with and without CL in terms of the yield and quality of oocytes in samples collected under the conditions of the present investigation. On the other hand, oocytes collected from ovaries without CL were associated with a significant (P<0.05) higher maturation rate rather than those had CL (77.77± 2.12 vs. 64.03± 2.07).

Table 1: Effect of breeding season on the number and quality of recovered buffalo oocytes

Season	No. of ovaries	No. of oocytes	Number of recovered oocytes per ovary	COC NO. (% ± S. E)	PCO No. (% ± S. E)	Denuded No. (% ± S. E)	Degenerated No. (% ± S. E)	Good quality No. (% ± S. E)
Spring	123	306	2.57±0.23	137 (44.67±4.32)	114 (37.02±4.55)	24 (8.26±2.56)	31 (10.28±1.94)	251 (81.69±2.95)*
Summer	113	307	2.60±0.21	105 (32.53±4.77)	120 (40.25±2.99)	24 (7.80±0.93)	58 (19.43±3.12)*	225 (72.77±2.28)

Data presented as mean (±S.E). * indicated significant (P<0.05) difference between spring and summer seasons and analyzed by student *t*-test. COC: cumulus oocyte complex and PCO: partial cumulus oocyte.

Table 2: Effect of breeding season on the maturation rate of buffalo oocytes *in vitro*

Season	Total No. of oocytes	Stage of nuclear development						Maturation rate No. (%)
		GV No. (%)	GVBD No. (%)	MI No. (%)	AI No. (%)	MII No. (%)	TI No. (%)	
Spring	164	32 (19.51)	7 (4.26)	4 (2.13)	4 (2.44)	92 (56.09)	25 (15.24)	117 (71.49±1.20)*
Summer	143	32 (22.38)	10 (6.99)	5 (3.49)	6 (4.19)	74 (51.75)	16 (11.19)	90 (63.40±2.15)

Data presented as mean (±S.E). * indicated significant (P<0.05) difference between spring and summer seasons and analyzed by student *t*-test. GV: germinal vesicle stage; GVBD: germinal vesicle break down; MI: metaphase I; AI: anaphase I; MII: metaphase II; TI: telophase I stage

Table 3: Effect of the presence or absence of corpus luteum on the recovery and quality of buffalo follicular oocytes

Corpus luteum	No. of ovaries	No. of oocytes	Average No. of oocytes/ ovary	Stage of nuclear development					Good quality No. (%)
				COC NO. (%)	PCO No. (%)	Denuded No. (%)	Degenerated No. (%)	TI No. (%)	
CL. presence	126	298	(2.37±0.14)	94 (30.00±5.00)	124 (43.59±6.41)	32 (10.39±1.47)	48 (16.02±1.20)	218 (73.59±1.41)	
CL. absence	106	244	(2.27±0.02)	98 (37.99±6.79)	70 (30.30±4.87)	26 (9.78±2.37)	50 (21.91±3.84)	168 (68.29±1.96)	

COC: cumulus oocyte complex, PCO: partial cumulus oocyte)

Table 4: Effect of absence and presence of corpus luteum on maturation rate of buffalo oocytes *in vitro*

Corpus luteum	Total No. of oocytes	Stage of nuclear development						Maturation No. (%)
		GV No. (%)	GVBD No. (%)	MI No. (%)	AI No. (%)	MII No. (%)	TI No. (%)	
CL. presence	143	39 (27.27)	3 (2.09)	5 (3.49)	5 (3.49)	74 (51.75)	17 (11.89)	91 (64.03±2.07)
CL. absence	161	27 (16.77)	3 (1.86)	3 (1.86)	2 (1.24)	97 (60.25)	29 (18.01)	126 (77.77±2.12)*

Data presented as mean (±S.E). * indicated significant (P<0.05) difference between ovarian samples with or without corpus luteum analyzed by student *t*-test. GV: germinal vesicle stage; GVBD: Germinal vesicles break down; MI: metaphase I; AI: anaphase I; MII: metaphase II; TI: telophase I.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, oocytes quality and maturation rate was significantly higher during the season associated with peak ovarian activity (Spring) than those collected during the low breeding season (Summer). A similar trend was recorded in previous studies in cattle [13] and buffalo [8, 14, 15]. Fewer follicles on ovaries [16] and lower oocyte yield per ovary [15] were found on buffalo slaughtered during hot summer season beside it's unfavorably influence on quality of oocytes [14]. Buffaloes [17, 18] and cows [19] under heat stress produced fewer good quality oocytes than unstressed animals. Former study evidenced that heat stress can alter endocrine patterns and reduced follicular development [20] and alter phospholipids composition of oocytes [21]. Such effect might lead to depression in oocyte quality [22] and relatively inactive status of ovaries and probably responsible for the seasonality of breeding in buffalo species [5]. What is more, high ambient temperature and humidity had deleterious effects on oocyte capability for

maturation and fertilization *in vitro* [22] and embryo viability of super-ovulated Holstein cows [23]. Shi *et al.* [24] referred the role of seasonal variation on *in vitro* embryo production to the differences in susceptibility of various male gametes to reflect seasonal divergences in their capability for fertilization and competence to develop to the blastocyst stage.

Very few studies have been dealt with follicular development in relation to the reproductive status of the ovary in buffalo and contradicting. In the current work, a higher percentage of maturation was obtained from ovaries in absence of CL. Similar results were recorded in buffalo by Jamil *et al.* [2]. Das *et al.* [25] and Raza *et al.* [26] recorded that the presence of a CL significantly reduces the number of ovarian follicles as well as the quality of the oocytes. This is because follicular development is restricted, as lutein cells occupy most of the ovary [27]. Corpus luteum may act on follicles to alter their growth rate and result in atresia [28]. However, the exact reason for the superiority of the ovary without a CL over those of CL-bearing ovary is not clear and need

further investigation. On the other hand, earlier studies affirmed higher maturation rate of oocytes recovered from ovaries having active structure, i.e. corpus luteum [29, 30] or dominant follicle [30] and its absence was associated with poor *in vitro* oocytes development in bovine [30]. Previous studies in buffalo [9] and cows [31] recorded that the presence of a CL stimulates follicular development and accordingly CL-bearing ovary contains more follicles. In conclusion, it was determined that season and ovarian status at the time of collection significantly affects the recovery, quality and meiotic maturation of buffalo oocytes for use in IVF programs.

REFERENCES

1. Samad, H.A. and A. Raza, 1999. Factors affecting recovery of buffalo follicular oocytes. *Pakistan, Vet. J.*, 19: 56-59.
2. Jamil, H., H.A. Samad, Z.I. Qureshi, N. Ur Rehman and L.A. Lodhi, 2008. Harvesting and Evaluation of Riverine Buffalo Follicular Oocytes. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 32: 25-30.
3. Barkawi, A.H., Y.M. Hafez, S.A. Ibrahim, G. Ashour, A.K. El-Asheeri and N. Ghanem, 2009. Characteristics of ovarian follicular dynamics throughout the estrous cycle of Egyptian Buffaloes. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 110: 326-334.
4. Marai, I.F.M. and A.A.M. Habeeb, 2010. Buffaloes reproductive and productive traits as affected by heat stress. *Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst.*, 12: 193-217.
5. Srivastava, S.K. and K.L. Sahni, 1999. Effect of season on oestrus and conception in village cows and buffaloes. *Indian Vet. J.*, 76: 385-387.
6. Tan, S.J. and K.H. Lu, 1990. Effects of different oestrous stages of ovaries and sizes of follicles on generation of bovine embryos *in vitro*. *Theriogenology*, 33: 335 (abstract).
7. Leibfried, L. and N.L. First, 1979. Characterization of bovine follicular oocytes and their ability to mature *in vitro*. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 48:76-86.
8. Machatkova, M., E. Jokesova, J. Petelikova and V. Dvoracek, 1996. Developmental competence of bovine embryos derived from oocytes collected at various stages of the estrous cycle, *Theriogenology*, 45: 801-810.
9. Savio, J.D., L. Keenan, M.P. Boland and J.F. Roche, 1988. Pattern of growth of dominant follicles during the oestrous cycle of heifers. *J. Reprod. Fertil.*, 83: 663-671.
10. Moreno, J.F., G. Flores-Foxworth, M. Westhusin and D.C. Kraemer, 1993. Influence of pregnancy and presence of a CL on quantity and quality of bovine oocytes obtained from ovarian follicles aspirated post-mortem. *Theriogenology*, 39: 271 (abstract).
11. Hagemann, L.J., A.J. Peterso, S. Beaumont, M. Berg, M.J. Donnison, A. Ledgard, A. Schurmann and H.R. Tervit, 1997. Stage of estrous cycle affects overall development of cattle oocytes to blastocyst *in vitro*. *Theriogenology*, 48: 27 (abstract).
12. Tarkowski, A.K., 1966. An air-drying method for chromosome preparations from mouse eggs. *Cytogenetics*, 5: 394-400.
13. Hamam, A.M., K.Gh.M. Mahmoud, M.F. Nawito, A.A. Seida and S.M.A. Nawar, 2001. Effect of the seasonal changes on recovery, quality and maturation of buffalo oocytes *in vitro*. *Egypt. J. Vet. Sci.*, 35: 123-133.
14. Mahmoud, K.Gh.M. and N.T. Eashra, 2004. Meiotic chromosomes of cattle oocytes in relation to seasonal variation. *Egypt. J. Vet. Sci.*, 38: 1-9.
15. Datta, T.K. and S.L. Goswami, 1998. Feasibility of harvesting oocytes from buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) ovaries by different methods. *Buffalo J.*, 14: 277-284.
16. Roy, D., A. Bhattacharya and S. Luktuke, 1972. Estrus and ovarian activity of buffaloes in different months. *Indian Vet. J.*, 49: 54-60.
17. Singla, S., R. Manik, M. Chauhan and M. Madan, 1999. Quality of oocytes obtained from buffalo ovaries during winter and summer months. *Indian J. Anim. Reprod.*, 20: 100-102.
18. Kadoom, A.Kh.A., 1995. Studies on *in-vitro* maturation, fertilization and development of buffalo oocytes. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Alex. University.
19. Al-Katanani, Y.M., F.F. Paula-Lopes and P.J. Hansen, 2002: Effect of season and exposure to heat stress on oocyte competence in Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 85: 390-396.
20. Nandi, S., M.S. Chauhan and P. Palta, 2001. Effect of environmental temperature on quality and developmental competence *in vitro* of buffalo oocytes. *Ver. Rec.*, 148: 278-279.
21. Zeron, Y., A. Ocheretny, O. Kedar, A. Borochoy, D. Sklan and A. Arav, 2001. Seasonal changes in bovine fertility: Relation to developmental competence of oocytes, membrane properties and fatty acid composition of follicles. *Reproduction*, 121: 447-454.

22. Leibfried-Rutledge, M., E.S. Crister, J.J. Parrish and N.L. First, 1989. In vitro maturation and fertilization of bovine oocytes. *Theriogenology*, 31: 61-74.
23. Rehman, N., A.R. Collins, T.K. Suh and Jr. R.W. Wright, 1994. Development of in vitro and fertilized bovine oocytes co-cultured with Buffalo Rat Liver cells. *Theriogenology*, 41: 1453-1462.
24. Shi, D.S., K.H. Lu, I. Gordon and C. Polge, 1991. Variation in cleavage and embryonic development of bovine oocytes in vitro fertilized with different bull ejaculates. *Theriogenology*, 35: 271 (abstract).
25. Das, G.K., G.C. Jain, V.S. Solanki and V.N. Tripathi, 1996. Efficacy various collection methods for oocyte retrieval in buffalo. *Theriogenology*, 46: 1403-1411.
26. Raza, A., H.A. Samad, N.U. Rehman and E.U.H. Zia, 2001. Studies on *in vitro* maturation and fertilization of Nili-Ravi buffalo follicular oocytes. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, 3: 503-506.
27. Kumar, A., V.S. Solanki, S.K. Jindal, V.N. Tripathi and G.G. Jain, 1997. Oocyte retrieval and histological studies of follicular population in buffalo ovaries. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 47: 189-195.
28. Rexroad, C.E. and L.E. Casida, 1975. Ovarian follicular development in cows, sows and ewes in different stages of pregnancy as affected by number of corpora lutea in the same ovary. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 41: 1090-1097.
29. Tasripoo, K. and M. Kamonpatana, 1997. Assessment of factors contributing to *in vitro* maturation, fertilization and cleavage rate of swamp buffalo oocytes. *Buffalo J.*, 3: 325-336.
30. Varisanga, M.D., C. Sumantri, M. Murakami, M. Fahrudin and T. Suzuki, 1998. Morphological classification of the ovaries in relation to the subsequent oocyte quality for IVF-produced bovine embryos. *Theriogenology*, 50: 1015-1023.
31. Pierson, R.A. and O.J. Ginther, 1987. Follicular population dynamics during the estrous cycle of the camel. *Anim.Reprod. Sci.*, 14: 219-231.